Duration of lactation, maternal metabolic profile and body composition in the Norwegian EBBA I-study
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Abstract

Objective There is conflicting evidence as to whether duration of lactation may decrease the risk of subsequent development of an unfavorable maternal metabolic profile including overweight and obesity. We hypothesized that duration of lactation is associated with a more favorable metabolic profile and healthier anthropometrical measurements. Methods 98 parous women from the Norwegian EBBA I-study (Energy Balance and Breast cancer Aspects-study), a cross-sectional study of healthy premenopausal women aged 25–35 years, historical lactation data were collected, anthropometrical measurements were taken, fasting blood samples (serum glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol) were drawn and women were asked to fill in a pre-coded food diary. Results Mean time since last birth was 4.7 years, mean number of children was 1.9, mean total duration of lactation was 19 months and average length of lactation per child was 10.3 months. Women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child had higher mean levels of fasting serum glucose (5.2 mmol/L vs. 5.0 mmol/L, p = 0.04), serum triglyceride (0.91 mmol/L vs. 0.66 mmol/L, p = 0.001) and serum cholesterol (4.78 mmol/L vs. 4.32 mmol/L, p = 0.004), and a higher waist-to-hip ratio (0.81 vs. 0.77, p = 0.001) than women who lactated for 10 months or more per child. The inverse association between average length of lactation per child and waist-to-hip ratio persisted after adjustment for potential confounders. Conclusions These results support the hypothesis that duration of lactation may be associated with a healthier metabolic profile and healthier anthropometrical measurements, especially lipid levels and waist-to-hip ratio even years after weaning.
Introduction

Overweight and obesity defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 25-30 kg/m² and 30 kg/m² or greater, respectively, is a major contributor to the global burden of chronic disease and disability. Visceral obesity is one of the key components of the metabolic syndrome in addition to dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, and hypertension.

For women metabolic syndrome is defined as: waist ≥80 cm (ethnicity specific), plus any two of the criteria S-triglyceride >1.7 mmol/L (150 mg/dL), S-HDL cholesterol <1.29 mmol/L (50 mg/dL), systolic blood pressure ≥130 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mm Hg and fasting S-glucose ≥5.6 mmol/ L (100 mg/dL). In women, an increasing number of children has been associated with a slightly higher body mass later in life, and higher rates of metabolic syndrome, but in women with a history of breastfeeding (> 1 month) decreased rates have been observed.

Lactation imposes a significant metabolic burden on mothers due to an increased energy requirement, and it may seem like the changes that occur during pregnancy (visceral fat accumulates, insulin resistance and increased lipid and triglyceride levels), reverse more quickly and more completely with lactation. Lactation has been associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and type 2 diabetes, and it has been hypothesized that the protective effects of lactation may derive from its energetic demands.

Among lactating mothers (compared to non-lactating mothers) an increased weight loss in the postpartum period has been seen, and also a lower waist circumference.
among exclusively or predominantly lactating women\textsuperscript{13}. Still not all studies find such association\textsuperscript{14,15}. These conflicting results may be due to methodological differences. For instance, in some studies anthropometrical measures were estimated from self-reported measurements whereas in other studies the anthropometrical measurements were directly measured. The studies that find beneficial effects tends to be the ones of higher quality\textsuperscript{11}. Another issue is the range of lactation. Most results emerge from studies with a short average duration of lactation, and they are often restricted to the first months after delivery\textsuperscript{16}.

The lactation duration in Norway is generally high\textsuperscript{17}. We therefore wanted to examine metabolic profile and body composition related to duration of lactation in a clinical cross-sectional study of young healthy parous women in Norway. We hypothesized that a longer duration of lactation is associated with a more favorable metabolic profile and healthier anthropometrical measurements.

**Materials and methods**

**Subjects and study design**

Historical lactation data were collected (2000-2002) among the 98 parous women which had given birth (1986 - 2001) from the Norwegian EBBA I-study (Energy Balance and Breast cancer Aspects-study), a cross-sectional study of 204 healthy premenopausal women aged 25–35 years\textsuperscript{18-20}. The subjects were recruited through local media campaigns in the city of Tromsø and surrounding-areas in North Norway, and met the following eligibility criteria: self-reported regular menstruation (normal
cycle length: 22–38 days within the previous 3 months), no use of steroid contraceptives, no pregnancy or lactation over the previous 6 months, no history of gynecological disorders, and no chronic medical conditions (e.g., diabetes, hypo- or hyperthyroidism). All participants came to the Department of Clinical Research, University Hospital of North Norway (UNN), Tromsø, Norway, at scheduled times.

As we wanted to examine metabolic profile and body composition in relation to duration of lactation, we only use data from the 98 women who had ever given birth.

**Ethical considerations**

Participating women signed an informed consent form. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate.

**Characteristics of the study population**

Questionnaires were used to collect information regarding reproductive history, lactation, marital status, age, education, height and weight at 18 years of age, and past and current lifestyle habits, including leisure time physical activity, alcohol consumption and tobacco use. Leisure time physical activity was originally graded as low/sedentary, moderate activity, hard activity, and very hard activity. Because of small number of women in some groups, leisure time physical activity was graded as low/moderate physical activity, and hard physical activity.
Lactation history

Lactation history data from the 98 parous women were collected through retrospective questions. The women were asked to fill in birth year of each child, and the number of months each child was breastfeed. Recall aids including a lifetime calendar were used, and all answers were checked for inconsistencies during an interview by the same trained nurse. Duration of lactation was calculated in months, with less than 1 month of lactation calculated as zero. “Average length of lactation per child” was calculated by summarizing the duration of lactation for each of the woman’s children, divided by the number of children. We stratified the variable into two groups by median split: less than 10 months and 10 months or more (median 10.2 months). Total duration of breastfeeding was computed by summarizing the length of lactation for each child.

Anthropometric and Clinical measurements

On the first day possible after onset of menstrual bleeding (day 1-5 after onset of the menstrual bleeding), the participants had a clinical examination, including body height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference, resting heart rate, blood pressure, and fasting blood sampling. Diastolic and systolic blood pressure were measured (PROPAQ 104), sitting in a resting position. Anthropometric measurements were taken with participants wearing light clothing and no footwear: height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg on an electronic scale. Waist circumference was measured in a horizontal line 2.5 cm above the umbilicus; hip circumference was measured at the largest circumference, both measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. Waist-to hip ratio (WHR) was calculated as the circumferences of the waist divided by that of the hips. A second clinical visit (day 7-12 after onset of the
menstrual bleeding), included a whole-body scan using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA – DPX-L 2288, Lunar Radiation Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). All clinical procedures were conducted by the same trained nurse at the Department of Clinical Research, UNN 19. For these analyses body mass index (BMI) was calculated and characterized as underweight (less than 18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5 - 24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25 - 30 kg/m²) and obese (30 kg/m² or greater).

Serum samples
At the first visit, blood samples were drawn after overnight fasting. Serum concentrations of glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol and High Density Lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol were measured in fresh sera at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, UNN. Serum glucose was measured enzymatically by the hexokinase method, and serum triglycerides were assayed by enzymatic hydrolysis with lipase. Serum cholesterol was determined enzymatically using cholesterol esterase and cholesterol oxidase and with HDL-cholesterol quantified by a direct assay using polyethylene glycol-modified enzymes and dextran sulfate 19.

Food diary
Dietary data were collected on seven different weekdays during one menstrual cycle (days 3 - 6 and 21 - 23) using a pre-coded food diary developed and validated by the Department of Nutrition, University of Oslo 21 and thereafter modified for use in the present study population. The women completed one diary per day for all seven selected weekdays, and were asked to record the type and the portion size of every food item consumed during the 24 hour period. A photo booklet facilitated
quantification of portion sizes. All answers were checked by interview by the same trained nurse, and all diaries were manually coded and controlled. The Department of Nutrition computed average daily intake of energy and nutrients using established software systems and a food composition database.

**Statistical Analyses**

Total duration of breastfeeding was analyzed both as a continuous variable and stratified as explained above. To find out if average length of lactation per child (stratified) was associated with a more favorable metabolic profile and healthier anthropometrical measurements we used analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables, and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Associations between average length of lactation per child as a continuous variable and other continuous variables were examined by Pearson’s correlation coefficients. The distribution of each variable was examined and found to be sufficiently normally distributed. Mean and standard deviation (SD) are reported unless otherwise stated, and a p-value of < 0.05 was used as a criterion for reporting statistical significance. Age- and multivariable linear regression models were also used to examine the relationship between average length of lactation per child (continuous) as an independent variable and maternal clinical and anthropometric measures. Similar linear regression models were performed with total duration of breastfeeding per women (continuous). Based on *a priori* knowledge we made adjustments for potential confounders: age, physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status. All reported p-values are two-sided. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Software Package 18.0.
Results

Demographic, lifestyle and reproductive characteristic, along with data on diet and clinical measures are given in Table 1. The women had an average energy intake of 8.0 ±1.99 MJ/day, and most of the women reported low/moderate physical activity. Anthropometric measures are presented in Table 2. Mean BMI was 25.1 kg/m² (range: 19.4 - 39.8), and 42 % of the women were overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m²). Mean DEXA total fat was 35.5 % (range: 20.9 - 51.0), mean waist circumference was 81.9 cm (range: 67.0 - 116.0), and mean WHR was 0.79 (range: 0.65 - 0.98). At 18 years of age, mean BMI was 21.4 kg/m², 12 % of the women were at 18 years underweight, and 7 % were overweight or obese.

The women had on average 1.9 children (range: 1 - 5), and average elapsed time since last birth was 4.7 years (range: 0.7 - 13.9). Average length of lactation per child was 10.3 months (range: 0 - 28). On average, first-, second-, and third born child were breastfed for 9.8 months (n = 98, range: 0 - 28), 10.5 months (n = 65, range: 0 - 36), and 8.1 months (n = 24, range: 0 - 18), respectively. The total duration of breastfeeding per woman was 19.2 months (n = 98, range: 0 - 54).

Women who lactated for an average of less than 10 months per child had significantly fewer years of schooling than women who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child (14 vs. 16 years, p = 0.007). They were also younger at their first birth (23.3
Average lactation per child did not differ significantly by age, marital status, number of children, and smoking pattern (Table 1).

Average length of lactation per child was weakly, but significant associated with total maternal energy intake (Pearson’s $r = 0.22$, $p = 0.05$), as well as intake of protein (Pearson’s $r = 0.24$, $p = 0.05$), carbohydrates (including fiber) (Pearson’s $r = 0.23$, $p = 0.05$) and fiber (Pearson’s $r = 0.30$, $p = 0.01$). In stratified analyses women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child had a significantly lower intake of protein (69.4 vs. 78.2 g/d), and a lower intake of fiber (13.9 vs. 17.1 g/d) than women who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child. No significant differences were found regarding fat or alcohol intake, and there were no differences in the energy percentage from each nutrient (Table 1). Women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child, were less likely to be physically active, than women who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child (12 % vs. 31 % engaged in hard physical activity) (Table 1).

Average length of lactation per child was weakly, inversely associated with serum triglyceride levels (Pearson’s $r = -0.22$, $p = 0.05$). Women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child, had significantly higher levels of fasting serum glucose, serum cholesterol, and serum triglyceride than women who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child (Table 1).

Relationships between different measures of body composition/indicators of adiposity (weight, BMI, waist circumference, WHR, and DEXA total fat percentage) and average length of lactation per child were evaluated using analysis of variance.
ANOVA). WHR was significantly higher (0.81 vs. 0.77) in women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child compared to those who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child. Mean waist circumference was also higher in women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child compared to those who on average lactated for 10 months or more per child (Table 2). Further women with an average length of lactation of less than 10 months per child, had a 4.7 times higher risk of having an unhealthy WHR (defined as > 0.85) \(^1\), compared to women with an average length of lactation of 10 months or more per child (RR = 4.7, 95 % confidence interval = 1.4, 15.8).

In linear regression models various anthropometric measures (BMI, waist circumference, WHR and DEXA total fat) and clinical measures (blood pressure, fS-glucose, fS-triglyceride, fS-HDL cholesterol, fS-cholesterol) were individually analyzed as a dependent variable, with average length of lactation per child as independent variable. The regression line for WHR is shown in Figure 1.

Diastolic blood pressure was positively associated and fS-triglyceride inversely associated with average length of lactation per child in age-adjusted models (Table 3). However, when simultaneously including in the regression model a number of factors that may have confounded the association: physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status, no significant associations were seen (Table 3). WHR was inversely associated with average length of lactation per child in the age-adjusted model: by each month of increase in lactation WHR fell 0.003 units (Table 4). The estimated change (β) for WHR was practically the same after adjusting for physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of
education and marital status ($\beta = -0.003, 95\%$ confidence interval $= -0.006 - 0.001, p = 0.008$). Average length of lactation per child was not a statistically significant predictor of any of the other clinical or anthropometric measures in the age-adjusted or multivariable models (Table 4).

We also performed linear regression models using total duration of breastfeeding per women as an independent variable. Diastolic blood pressure was associated with total duration of breastfeeding, both in the age-adjusted model ($\beta = 0.172, 95\%$ confidence interval $= 0.033 - 0.312, p = 0.02$), and in the multivariable model ($\beta = 0.169, 95\%$ confidence interval $= 0.020 - 0.317, p = 0.03$). However, when adjusting for number of children in addition to age, physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status the association disappeared ($\beta = 0.162, 95\%$ confidence interval $= -0.029 - 0.353, p = 0.1$). There was no significant associations for any of the other clinical measures (systolic blood pressure, fS-glucose, fS-triglyceride, fS-HDL cholesterol, fS-cholesterol) and total duration of breastfeeding (data not shown).

As for the anthropometric measures (BMI, waist circumference, WHR and DEXA total fat) there was no significant associations with total duration of breastfeeding either in the age-adjusted models, or in the multivariable model (data not shown). Yet, when adjusting for number of children in addition to age, physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status there was a borderline significant inverse association between total duration of breastfeeding and waist circumference (cm) ($\beta = -0.229, 95\%$ confidence interval $= -0.456 - 0.001, p = 0.049$) and WHR ($\beta = -0.002, 95\%$ confidence interval $= -0.003 - 0.0000, p = 0.012$).
Discussion

Our findings suggest that longer average length of lactation per child may be associated with healthier metabolic profile and body composition especially WHR. Moreover, we found that women who on average lactated for less than 10 months per child had slightly, but significantly higher levels of fasting glucose, triglycerides and cholesterol. Similar results have recently been reported by others, as increased duration of lactation was associated with a lower prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and cardiovascular disease among women \(^4, 22-25\).

Lactation may have long-term metabolic effects, and there has been seen an inverse association between longer duration of lactation and lower incidence of the metabolic syndrome years after weaning \(^24, 25\). Lactation has also been associated with the percentage of body fat assessed by skin fold thickness \(^13, 26\) and bioimpedance \(^13\). Other studies have found that mothers who lactate for a longer period, have a larger reduction in hip circumference than those who lactate for a shorter period \(^12\). Some studies have shown that women who lactate have a faster return to their pre-pregnant weight \(^27\). The greatest weight loss has been observed during the first 12 months after giving birth, with weight retention for 16 months \(^26\).

One explanation may be that lactation may attenuate unfavorable changes in metabolic risk factors that occur during pregnancy, and it has been hypothesized that the protective effects of lactation may derive from its energetic demands \(^9\) and with effects apparent after weaning. Lactation may therefore affect women's future risk of
cardiovascular and metabolic diseases \textsuperscript{28}, even several years after cessation of lactation.

Although most studies show favorable effects of prolonged lactation, there is still conflicting evidence as to whether it may decrease the risk of maternal overweight/obesity, and some studies find no such association \textsuperscript{14}. The conflicting results may be due to differences in methodology. Dewey \textsuperscript{11} found that only one of six observational studies in which postpartum weight change was estimated (rather than measured directly), showed an association with lactation. In contrast, six of the seven studies where weight was measured directly, found greater body weight-loss or fat loss in women who lactated for longer periods.

Thus far, most studies looking at this question have been restricted to the first months after delivery. In our study we examined the associations on average 4.7 years after last delivery. Gigante \textsuperscript{13} and colleagues also investigated the effect of lactation on maternal anthropometrical measurements approximately 5 years after delivery. They found an inverse association between lactation and waist circumference, supporting the results in our study.

Another issue is whether a favorable effect of duration of lactation on maternal metabolic profile and anthropometric measures acts in a dose-response manner, or whether the effect is already taken out after a short period of lactation. In the present study the average duration of lactation per child was 10.3 months, and in the stratified analysis women who lactated for as long as 9.9 months were included in the “short” duration strata. The fact that the favorable associations seen with longer duration of
lactation in our study were less strong than in several other studies\textsuperscript{29,30}, may be influenced by the overall long duration of lactation in our study.

The observation that lower rates of lactation in women are associated with obesity may be explained by a variety of mechanisms. The reasons may be biological or they may be psychological, behavioral and/or cultural\textsuperscript{31}. Some have linked this to physiological causes, such as a lower prolactin response\textsuperscript{32} and a delayed lactogenesis ("milk coming out")\textsuperscript{33}, which are expected to compromise the ability to produce milk, and over time could lead to premature cessation of lactation\textsuperscript{32}. Furthermore, obese women are more likely to belong to subgroups of women with lower rates of lactation than normal weight women. Such subgroups can be lower socioeconomic status\textsuperscript{34} or groups with more frequent depression\textsuperscript{35}. In our study, we observed a positive association between length of lactation and years of education.

Moreover, we also observed that longer lactation was associated with a slightly higher energy intake, a significantly higher intake of protein and fiber, and a higher level of physical activity. Lactation imposes a metabolic burden on mothers, with an increased energy requirement of approximately 2 MJ per day\textsuperscript{36}. In Norway the recommendations for energy intake among lactating women are therefore a surplus of 2 MJ per day. It was however surprising that the women who had breastfed for the longest period had on average 0.7 MJ higher energy intake per day even several years after finishing lactation (range: 0.7-13.9 years). The concentrations of leptin (a hormone involved in energy regulation and metabolism) in breast milk decrease with time during lactation and show significant relationships with other maternal hormones\textsuperscript{37}. 


Our study has several advantages. There was no inter-observer error because the same trained nurse traced all the participants throughout the study and took all anthropometric measurements. All the women met in the same clinical research department at the same time during the menstrual cycle. They were all answering a questionnaire and interviewed using a lifetime calendar in order to improve the quality of the data. The multiple different measurements of adiposity/obesity (weight, waist circumference, WHR, DEXA total fat) all correlated significantly with BMI (all p < 0.01). The studied population was homogenous with regard to ethnicity and cultural background. However, cross-sectional studies are limited by the fact that they are carried out at one time point and they give no indication of the sequence of events, and cannot address potential confounding by pre-pregnancy factors. Also, as the number of parous women in our study is limited, the study lacks statistical power to examine associations for a wider range of lactation length strata (e.g. no lactation vs. years of lactation). Length of lactation was statistically significant associated with a healthier metabolic profile and body composition. Thus, our findings support and hypothesize that lactation, may play a role for mothers who have given birth to get a healthier metabolic profile. However, our study is small, but underlines the need for larger prospective studies in order to clarify another potential important and positive role of breastfeeding in preventive medicine.

**Conclusions**

This study has shown that in a group of premenopausal women in Norway, women with an average lactation length of 10 months or more per child had a more favorable
metabolic profile with significantly lower levels of glucose, triglyceride, and cholesterol than women who lactated for less than 10 months. The women with lactation length for 10 months or more per child also had a significantly lower WHR and waist circumference, despite the fact that they had a higher energy intake. The inverse association between length of lactation and WHR remained statistically significant even after controlling for several potential confounders.
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Table 1 Characteristics of participants by average length of lactation per child

Characteristics of participants by average length of lactation per child: means (SD)* or proportions. The Norwegian EBBA I-study (n = 98).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>&lt; 10 months (n = 43)</th>
<th>≥ 10 months (n = 55)</th>
<th>p-value1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>32.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>32.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>32.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>15.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>14.1 (2.6)</td>
<td>15.7 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabitation (%)</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first menstruation, y</td>
<td>12.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>12.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>12.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first birth, y</td>
<td>24.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>23.3 (3.7)</td>
<td>25.5 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at last birth, y</td>
<td>27.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>27.1 (3.5)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since last birth, y</td>
<td>4.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 1 child (%)</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 children (%)</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 children (%)</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 children (%)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 children (%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy kJ/d</td>
<td>8006.7 (1991.1)</td>
<td>7606.8 (1947.3)</td>
<td>8319.4 (1986.3)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein g/d</td>
<td>74.3 (18.1)</td>
<td>69.4 (17.0)</td>
<td>78.2 (18.1)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat g/d</td>
<td>78.6 (26.3)</td>
<td>75.9 (24.9)</td>
<td>80.8 (27.4)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate g/d</td>
<td>217.2 (52.7)</td>
<td>206.0 (54.2)</td>
<td>226.0 (50.2)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber, g/d</td>
<td>15.7 (4.9)</td>
<td>13.9 (4.5)</td>
<td>17.1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein E %</td>
<td>16.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>15.7 (2.1)</td>
<td>16.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat E%</td>
<td>36.7 (5.1)</td>
<td>37.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>36.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate E%</td>
<td>46.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>46.4 (5.9)</td>
<td>46.6 (5.1)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, units/week</td>
<td>1.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (%) 2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure sys (mmHg)</td>
<td>117.5 (15.3)</td>
<td>115.3 (14.5)</td>
<td>119.3 (15.8)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure dia (mmHg)</td>
<td>72.4 (8.7)</td>
<td>70.7 (7.0)</td>
<td>73.8 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Triglyceride (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-HDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.31)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.52 (0.79)</td>
<td>4.78 (0.65)</td>
<td>4.32 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD, standard deviation.
1One-way analysis of variance or chi-square χ2 test.
2Hard physical activity.
3Blood sampling first visit (days 1–5 of the menstrual cycle).
4To convert values for Glucose to mg/dl, multiply by 18.0182.
5To convert values for Triglyceride to mg/dl, multiply by 88.57396.
6To convert values for Cholesterol or HDL Cholesterol to mg/dl, multiply by 38.66976.
Table 2 Anthropometrics of participants by average length of lactation per child

Anthropometrics of participants by average length of lactation per child: means (SD)* or proportions. The Norwegian EBBA I-study (n = 98).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Duration of lactation per child</th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 10 months (n = 43)</td>
<td>≥ 10 months (n = 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at 18 year, kg(^2)</td>
<td>59.9 (9.0)</td>
<td>59.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>59.8 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>70.2 (12.1)</td>
<td>71.0 (11.2)</td>
<td>69.6 (12.8)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>167.1 (6.5)</td>
<td>167.3 (6.4)</td>
<td>167.1 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2)) at 18 year(^2)</td>
<td>21.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>21.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>21.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2)) at baseline</td>
<td>25.1 (3.8)</td>
<td>25.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>24.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥25 (kg/m(^2)) (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tissue fat (DEXA) (%)</td>
<td>35.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>35.9 (7.0)</td>
<td>35.2 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist, cm</td>
<td>81.9 (10.1)</td>
<td>84.0 (10.9)</td>
<td>80.2 (9.3)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist ≥80 cm (%)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip, cm</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>103.8 (6.8)</td>
<td>104.4 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR, WHR &gt;0.85 (%)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD, standard deviation.
\(^1\) One-way analysis of variance or chi-square \(\chi^2\) test.
\(^2\) Self-reported height and weight at age 18.
Table 3 Estimated change in various clinical measures

Estimated change (regression coefficient $\beta$ and 95% confidence interval) in various clinical measures by one month increase in lactation duration per child. The Norwegian EBBA I-study ($n = 98$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age-adjusted model</th>
<th>Multivariable model&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure sys (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>-0.021 – 1.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure dia (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.035 – 0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Glucose&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.033 – 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Triglyceride&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-0.029 – 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-HDL Cholesterol&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.015 – 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fS-Cholesterol&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.052 – 0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status.

<sup>2</sup> Blood sampling first visit (days 1–5 of the menstrual cycle).

<sup>3</sup>To convert values for Glucose to mg/dl, multiply by 18.0182.

<sup>4</sup>To convert values for Triglyceride to mg/dl, multiply by 88.5739.

<sup>5</sup>To convert values for Cholesterol or HDL Cholesterol to mg/dl, multiply by 38.66976.
Table 4 Estimated change in various anthropometric measures

Estimated change (regression coefficient $\beta$ and 95 % confidence interval) in various anthropometric measures by one month increase in lactation duration per child. The Norwegian EBBA I-study ($n = 98$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age-adjusted model</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariable model$^1$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>95 % CI</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>-0.163 – 0.119</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
<td>-0.653 – 0.089</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.005 – 0.000</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tissue fat (DEXA) (%)</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>-0.316 – 0.206</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Adjusted for age, physical activity, time since last birth, tobacco use, length of education and marital status.
Figure 1 Regression line of waist-to-hip ratio and average length of lactation per child (months).